### Kaiser Permanente Research Brief

# **Breast cancer**

This brief summarizes the contributions of Kaiser Permanente Research since 2012 on the topic of breast cancer.

Breast cancer is a common disease. Approximately 1 in 8 American women<sup>1</sup> and 1 in 726 American men<sup>2</sup> will develop invasive breast disease during their lifetimes. More than 367,000 new cases of breast cancer are expected to be diagnosed in 2024, including over 310,000 cases of invasive breast cancer and more than 56,000 cases of noninvasive "in situ" tumors. Improvements in detection and treatment have led to higher survival rates, but breast cancer is still expected to account for nearly 43,000 deaths in 2024 in the United States.<sup>3</sup> In situ tumors — that is, those still confined to the breast ducts or lobules — are associated with lower breast cancer mortality risk than those that progress into other parts of the breast tissue, and some types of invasive breast cancer are more aggressive than others.

Breast cancer is an active area of study for Kaiser Permanente Research. Scientists across the organization have used our rich, comprehensive, longitudinal data to advance knowledge in the areas of understanding risk, improving patient outcomes, and translating research findings into policy and practice. We have published nearly 600 articles related to breast cancer since



Source: Kaiser Permanente Publications Library and Scite metrics, as of August 20, 2024.

2012.<sup>4</sup> Together, these articles have been cited over 21,000 times. These articles are the product of observational studies, randomized controlled trials, meta-analyses, and other studies led by Kaiser Permanente scientists. Our unique environment — a fully integrated care and coverage model in which our research scientists, clinicians, medical groups, and health plan leaders collaborate — lets us contribute important knowledge about breast cancer, and many other research topics.

### **Understanding risk**

#### Who is at risk for developing breast cancer?

Most women diagnosed with breast cancer have no clear hereditary or genetic risk for the disease.<sup>5-7</sup> However, our scientists have helped to further the understanding of factors associated with elevated risk, including a personal history of benign breast disease,<sup>5; 8</sup> histories of breast or ovarian cancer among first- or second-degree relatives,<sup>5; 6; 8-11</sup> and dense breasts,<sup>5; 7; 12-15</sup> as well as clinically significant genetic factors.<sup>16-22</sup>

This brief summarizes a selection of the publications contained within the Kaiser Permanente Publications Library, which indexes journal articles and other publications authored by individuals affiliated with Kaiser Permanente. The work described in this brief originated from across Kaiser Permanente's 8 regions and was supported by a wide range of funding sources including internal research support as well as both governmental and nongovernmental extramural funding.

Our researchers have studied links between breast cancer risk and race and ethnicity.<sup>23</sup> White women<sup>24</sup> are more likely to be diagnosed with breast cancer, while Black women are more likely to be diagnosed with aggressive subtypes of breast cancer.<sup>25-27</sup> Our research has also connected numerous reproductive factors with the risk for breast cancer. Women who experience menarche at earlier ages are at elevated risk,<sup>28; 29</sup> as are women who enter menopause at later ages,<sup>28</sup> women who experience persistent hot flashes or night sweats during menopause,<sup>30</sup> and women with higher levels of circulating progesterone after menopause.<sup>31</sup> Higher risks have also been found in women who are at a later age when their first child is born.<sup>5; 8; 28</sup> Conversely, women who breastfeed<sup>32</sup> are at lower risk.

In addition, Kaiser Permanente has conducted studies of numerous modifiable risk factors. Elevated breast cancer risk has been associated with smoking,<sup>33; 34</sup> alcohol use,<sup>28; 33</sup> and diets high in fat.<sup>33; 35</sup> Obesity has also been associated with a greater risk of breast cancer,<sup>12; 33; 36; 37</sup> and recent work has found that sustained weight loss may lower this risk.<sup>38</sup> In addition, use of menopausal hormone therapy has been associated with greater risk.<sup>28; 39; 40</sup> For example, in the Women's Health Initiative, a long-term national health study, the use of estrogen with progestin (relative to placebo) was associated with significantly greater risks of breast cancer and mortality.<sup>41</sup> Our scientists have also found that obesity,<sup>42; 43</sup> body composition,<sup>44</sup> physical activity patterns,<sup>45</sup> and dietary factors<sup>46; 47</sup> are associated with the risk of dying from breast cancer.

# What other health risks do people with breast cancer face?

Numerous factors are associated with a higher risk of breast cancer, and not all of them can be altered through lifestyle choices.

#### Non-modifiable risk factors:

- History of breast cancer
- Breast cancer in a 1st-degree relativeBreast cancer in a 2nd-degree relative
- before age 50
- Ovarian cancer in a 1st or 2nd-degree relative
- Dense breasts
- Older age
- White race
- Ashkenazi Jewish ethnicity
- Prior chest radiation therapy for lymphoma before age 25
- Menarche at younger age
- Menopause at later age

#### Modifiable risk factors:

- Smoking
- Alcohol use
- Obesity
- Diet
- First pregnancy at younger age
- Hormone therapy
- Not breastfeeding

In patients diagnosed with breast cancer, chemotherapies and other treatments can have significant side effects, including cardiovascular toxicity,<sup>48-55</sup> peripheral neuropathy,<sup>56-59</sup> joint pain,<sup>60; 61</sup> and poor bone health.<sup>62-65</sup> For example, a population-based study using data from the Cancer Research Network found that, relative to women treated without chemotherapy, heart failure was 4 times more likely in women treated with trastuzumab and 7 times more likely in women treated with trastuzumab and 7 times more likely in women treated with trastuzumab and anthracycline.<sup>48</sup> Survivors of breast cancer may also be at elevated risks of serious cardiovascular illness, as well as cardiovascular risk factors including diabetes and hypertension.<sup>54; 55; 66</sup> Even in women diagnosed with early-stage breast cancer, disease recurrence is a continued risk.<sup>67-71</sup> Older patients may also be more likely to experience cardiotoxicity or peripheral neuropathy from chemotherapy.<sup>72; 73</sup> One study of breast cancer survivors found that those with fewer social supports received less intensive treatment<sup>74</sup> and experienced higher death rates.<sup>75</sup> Recent research also suggests that healthier diets may increase the odds of survival and lower the risk of disease recurrence in breast cancer patients.<sup>76; 77</sup>



<u>λ</u>

### **Improving Patient Outcomes**

### What strategies are effective in preventing breast cancer?

Kaiser Permanente researchers have evaluated numerous interventions for preventing breast cancer. In addition to its proactive programs to screen women at average risk for breast cancer, Kaiser Permanente has tailored efforts aimed at identifying women at high genetic risk,<sup>78-81</sup> and has studied the use of patient navigators and electronic alerts to physicians to increase the rate at which these patients are referred for genetic counseling.<sup>78; 82</sup> In women at high risk for developing breast cancer, medications that block the effects of estrogen in breast cells, such as tamoxifen or raloxifene, are options.<sup>83; 84</sup> However, concerns remain regarding the risks of cardiovascular disease or endometrial cancer in patients taking tamoxifen.<sup>52; 85; 86</sup> In other women facing a high risk of breast cancer, prophylactic mastectomy may also be considered. A recent study also noted that for severely obese women, bariatric surgery was associated with a reduced risk of breast cancer.<sup>87</sup>

### How does early identification of breast cancer affect outcomes?

Years of research on screening have demonstrated that early detection of breast cancer is associated with lower mortality, superior treatment outcomes, and lower rates of disease recurrence.<sup>67; 88</sup> Screening mammography is a well-established early detection strategy,<sup>89-91</sup> and our scientists have explored several approaches for improving screening rates and outcomes.<sup>92</sup> These have included a risk-based screening strategy for women age 40 to 49,<sup>7</sup> supplemental imaging for women with higher breast density,<sup>93; 94</sup> written mammography reminders,<sup>95</sup> eliminating costsharing for mammograms,<sup>96</sup> using prior mammogram results to

## Kaiser Permanente programs increase rates of screening mammography

- Reminder letters
- Targeted screening
  - Community outreach
  - No copays
     Solf notemal
  - Self-referral
  - Performance benchmarks

interpret new scans more accurately,<sup>97</sup> mammography self-referral,<sup>98</sup> outreach efforts tailored to racial or ethnic minorities,<sup>99-101</sup> and development of screening performance benchmarks.<sup>102</sup> In addition, our researchers have been involved in the development of the Breast Cancer Research Consortium Risk Calculator, an online tool that allows women to estimate their risk based on their clinical and demographic characteristics.<sup>103-105</sup> Other studies conducted by Kaiser Permanente scientists have identified opportunities for optimizing the use of various screening modalities,<sup>106-110</sup> including comparisons of digital breast tomosynthesis against digital mammography.<sup>111-115</sup> Our researchers have explored risk-based strategies for prioritizing mammograms for immediate diagnostic interpretation,<sup>116</sup> and have participated in the development and validation of artificial intelligence algorithms for automated interpretation of mammogram results.<sup>117; 118</sup> Conversely, other research has highlighted the challenges of maintaining access to mammography and timely workup of suspicious lesions during the COVID-19 pandemic.<sup>119-125</sup>

Kaiser Permanente researchers have contributed to the development of risk prediction tools designed to identify patients who may derive greater benefits from ongoing surveillance,<sup>126-131</sup> and to the evaluation and validation of multigene tests that predict prognosis or response to therapy,<sup>132-134</sup> thus improving the matching of treatment dose with underlying risk. These multigene tests have allowed clinicians to identify patients who are more likely to experience overtreatment,<sup>135</sup> as well as those at greater risk of treatment failure.<sup>132</sup> Overdiagnosis is an acknowledged harm associated with breast cancer screening.<sup>136; 137</sup> False positive screening results, and the identification of nonmalignant lesions via screening, can lead to psychological distress, financial burden, and even unnecessary treatment.<sup>138-140</sup>

What are the key factors in effective treatment of patients with breast cancer?

Breast cancer care pathway	
Prevention and early detection	
	<ul> <li>Screening mammography</li> <li>Genetic testing/ counseling</li> <li>Prophylactic surgery or medication</li> </ul>
Diagnosis	<ul> <li>Biopsy</li> <li>Disease staging and subtyping</li> <li>Treatment planning</li> </ul>
Treatment	<ul> <li>Radiation</li> <li>Chemotherapy</li> <li>Surgery</li> <li>Adjuvant medications</li> </ul>
Surveillance	<ul><li> Routine mammography</li><li> Other imaging may be recommended</li></ul>

At Kaiser Permanente, patients with breast cancer benefit from receiving care in an organization with ongoing research, and are frequently able to receive cutting-edge medicine through participation in clinical trials.<sup>141-156</sup> Many of these are part of the National Cancer Institute's National Clinical Trials Network, in which we participate through our leadership role in the National Cancer Institute Community Oncology Research Program<sup>157-167</sup>. In addition, as part of an integrated health care organization, Kaiser Permanente's researchers have a long-standing interest in improving care pathways for patients with breast cancer. Several studies have explored the impact of care team factors in the care of these patients, particularly the role of clinicians in helping patients navigate the health care system.<sup>168-171</sup> Of particular interest are factors that influence the time between an abnormal mammogram result and evaluation through biopsy.<sup>172-</sup> <sup>176</sup> Our scientists have also demonstrated the importance of maintaining care for other conditions,<sup>177; 178</sup> as there is some evidence that patients with breast cancer are less likely to receive recommended primary care services following their diagnosis.<sup>178</sup> The importance of primary care in the management of patients with breast cancer is highlighted by research demonstrating superior treatment outcomes in women engaged in healthy eating and regular exercise.<sup>179-183</sup>

Researchers at Kaiser Permanente have conducted several studies of the effectiveness of chemotherapy in patients with breast cancer.<sup>142; 147; 158; 184</sup> We have studied factors associated

with initiation of and adherence to adjuvant endocrine therapies such as tamoxifen and aromatase inhibitors — these include the timeliness of treatment initiation,<sup>185</sup> geographic location,<sup>186</sup> social support<sup>169; 187</sup> and other psychosocial factors,<sup>188</sup> age,<sup>189-191</sup> race,<sup>191; 192</sup> receipt of other breast cancer treatment,<sup>189</sup> side effects,<sup>193</sup> tumor size,<sup>190</sup> and lymph node status.<sup>194</sup>

Our scientists have also studied numerous aspects of surgery for breast cancer.<sup>195; 196</sup> Research conducted at Kaiser Permanente has linked improvements in care planning for disease survivors with superior treatment outcomes and longer survival.<sup>197</sup> Our researchers have also studied surgical approaches associated with improved cosmetic outcomes, including judicious use of breast-conserving surgery<sup>198; 199</sup> and the use of modern imaging technology to measure the removal of cancerous tissue.<sup>200</sup>

Even after successful treatment, breast cancer is best thought of as a chronic illness, in which the risks of recurrence, disease progression, and development of comorbid illnesses must be carefully monitored.<sup>178; 201;</sup> <sup>202</sup> Our scientists have developed and validated an algorithm for identifying cases of breast cancer recurrence from health record and medical claims data.<sup>69; 203; 204</sup> Studies at Kaiser Permanente have also explored why some patients may struggle to follow recommendations for post-treatment surveillance,<sup>178; 201; 205-208</sup> including variations between facilities,<sup>209</sup> and are actively testing interventions that foster greater engagement with surveillance

## **Translating Research Findings Into Policy and Practice**

As part of a learning health care organization that uses research to inform and improve practice, Kaiser Permanente's research, clinical, and operational partners have tested a range of interventions to reduce the risk of breast cancer and improve outcomes for patients with this disease. Our work in risk prediction has enabled our clinicians to tailor more effective care pathways for individual patients with breast cancer. This has included the use of artificial intelligence algorithms to supplement clinical models for estimating breast cancer risk,<sup>210</sup> genetic profiling to optimize the use of chemotherapy,<sup>79; 135; 211; 212</sup> personalized risk counseling for women with dense breasts<sup>213</sup> and those at high risk,<sup>214</sup> and the proper coordination of breast cancer surgery with the surgical removal of the ovaries and fallopian tubes.<sup>215</sup>

Our researchers also continue to explore ways to improve the timing of care pathway elements, including increasing appropriate use of surveillance mammography<sup>128; 129; 216; 217</sup> and addressing delays in treatment.<sup>171; 218; 219</sup> Extensive interviews with Kaiser Permanente physicians have suggested new care pathways leading to enhanced care, including improving the quality of shared decision-making with patients,<sup>220</sup> increasing appropriate referrals for treatment of breast cancer-related lymphedema,<sup>221</sup> and using diagnostic and surveillance testing more effectively.<sup>222; 223</sup> Our research on long-term surveillance practices has significantly improved the integration and coordination of care after our patients complete breast cancer treatment.<sup>224-226</sup> Studies of more advanced care practices include interventions aimed at maintaining patients' contact with their primary care provider,<sup>178</sup> the use of wearable devices to encourage ongoing physical activity, 227-229 organized depression screening and treatment referral among patients with breast cancer,<sup>230</sup> and the use of specialized care teams (including nurse navigators)<sup>231-233</sup> to help patients effectively navigate through a system of multidisciplinary care.

Many Kaiser Permanente hospitals in Northern California, 234

Our research has identified ways to improve the timing of the breast cancer care pathway

**Compliance with surveillance care** More active PCP participation and survivorship programs

#### **Timely radiotherapy**

Identifying and addressing patient and clinical factors associated with longer average times before treatment initiation

**Initiation of adjuvant treatments** Patient education regarding efficacy and side effects

**More effective shared decision-making** Improving the quality of communication between patients, oncologists, and breast surgeons

Hawaii,<sup>235</sup> Oregon<sup>236</sup>, and Kaiser Permanente in the mid-Atlantic states<sup>237</sup> have received Commission on Cancer accreditation through the American College of Surgeons. In addition to providing organizational models and performance measurement tools that can lead to improved patient outcomes, accredited programs are also provided with extensive data on their patients, and may participate in special studies of important clinical questions facing patients with cancer.<sup>238</sup>

Collectively, research from Kaiser Permanente authors on the topic of breast cancer has been cited 70 times within recent consensus statements and clinical practice guidelines published by a wide range of entities, including the American Cancer Society<sup>239; 240</sup> and the American Society of Clinical Oncology.<sup>241</sup> Our researchers and clinician scientists have also directly contributed as authors of breast cancer-related guidelines and systematic reviews conducted for the American College of Radiology,<sup>242</sup> U.S. Preventive Services Task Force<sup>243-245</sup> and the American College of Physicians.<sup>90</sup>

Kaiser Permanente has shown considerable leadership in the field of breast cancer research. Our scientists have led a number of prominent studies, including Northern California's Pathways Study, a study of lifestyle factors, guality of care, prognosis, and survival in women diagnosed with breast cancer;<sup>180; 246-250</sup> the Breast Cancer Treatment Effectiveness in Older Women Study,<sup>201</sup> and a randomized trial assessing whether prescreening cessation of hormone replacement therapy increases mammogram accuracy.<sup>251</sup> Ongoing Breast Cancer Surveillance Consortium work of interest to the broader research community includes a study exploring ways of incorporating breast density information into decisions around screening and preoperative diagnosis,<sup>252</sup> efforts to compare breast density assessment between different types of digital screening,<sup>253</sup> research into applications of artificial intelligence technology toward improving the accuracy of screening mammography, 107; 254-256 and efforts to develop performance benchmarks for diagnostic digital mammography<sup>257</sup> and screening MRI.<sup>217</sup> Kaiser Permanente oncologists in Northern and Southern California, Hawaii, Colorado, Washington, and the Northwest participate in the National Cancer Institute Community Oncology Research Program, which funds numerous trials of breast cancer treatment, prevention, imaging, and symptom control.<sup>157</sup> Scientists at Kaiser Permanente were also involved in an expert panel on early-onset breast cancer convened by the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists.<sup>258</sup> Our researchers are also involved in the development of novel breast cancer treatments, including next-generation genetic sequencing of tumor subtypes,<sup>259</sup> and the evaluation of off-label treatments for advanced disease.<sup>260</sup>

This brief was written by Nicholas P. Emptage, Anna C. Davis, and Elizabeth A. McGlynn. It is available online from <u>about.kaiserpermanente.org/health-and-wellness/health-research/research-briefs</u>. The authors wish to thank the following researchers for their contributions to the development of this brief: Laurel A. Habel and Debra P. Ritzwoller. Learn more about Kaiser Permanente Research at <u>about.kaiserpermanente.org/health-and-wellness/he</u>

### References

- 1. American Cancer Society. Breast Cancer Statistics. 2024; <u>https://www.cancer.org/cancer/types/breast-cancer/about/how-common-is-breast-cancer.html</u>. Accessed August 28, 2024.
- 2. American Cancer Society. Key Statistics for Breast Cancer in Men. 2024; <u>https://www.cancer.org/cancer/types/breast-cancer-in-men/about/key-statistics.html</u>. Accessed August 28, 2024.
- 3. American Cancer Society. Cancer Facts & Figures 2024. 2024; <u>https://www.cancer.org/research/cancer-facts-</u> statistics/all-cancer-facts-figures/2024-cancer-facts-figures.html. Accessed August 28, 2024.
- 4. KPPL Search, conducted on August 20, 2024: (title:"breast cancers"~4 OR title:"breast cancer"~4 OR title:"breast tumors"~4 OR title:"breast tumors"~4 OR title:"breast tumors"~4 OR title:"breast tumors"~4 OR title:"breast carcinoma" OR title:"breast examination" OR subject:"breast neoplasms" OR subject:mastectomy OR subject:"breast self-examination" OR subject:"BRCA1 protein" OR subject:"BRCA2 protein" OR subject:"Carcinoma, Ductal, Breast" OR subject:"Hereditary Breast and Ovarian Cancer Syndrome" OR subject:"Carcinoma, Lobular") AND dc.type:"Journal Article". Date range 2012 to 2024.
- 5. Engmann NJ, Golmakani MK, Miglioretti DL, Sprague BL, Kerlikowske K, Breast Cancer Surveillance C. Population-Attributable Risk Proportion of Clinical Risk Factors for Breast Cancer. *JAMA oncology*. 2017;3(9):1228-1236.
- Ahern TP, Sprague BL, Bissell MCS, et al. Family History of Breast Cancer, Breast Density, and Breast Cancer Risk in a U.S. Breast Cancer Screening Population. *Cancer epidemiology, biomarkers & prevention : a publication of the American Association for Cancer Research, cosponsored by the American Society of Preventive Oncology.* 2017;26(6):938-944.
- 7. Price ER, Keedy AW, Gidwaney R, Sickles EA, Joe BN. The Potential Impact of Risk-Based Screening Mammography in Women 40-49 Years Old. *AJR American journal of roentgenology*. 2015;205(6):1360-1364.



- 8. Banegas MP, John EM, Slattery ML, et al. Projecting Individualized Absolute Invasive Breast Cancer Risk in US Hispanic Women. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*. 2016;109(2):djw215.
- 9. Shiyanbola OO, Arao RF, Miglioretti DL, et al. Emerging Trends in Family History of Breast Cancer and Associated Risk. *Cancer epidemiology, biomarkers & prevention : a publication of the American Association for Cancer Research, cosponsored by the American Society of Preventive Oncology.* 2017;26(12):1753-1760.
- 10. Braithwaite D, Miglioretti DL, Zhu W, et al. Family History and Breast Cancer Risk Among Older Women in the Breast Cancer Surveillance Consortium Cohort. *JAMA internal medicine*. 2018;178(4):494-501.
- 11. Durham DD, Abraham LA, Roberts MC, et al. Breast cancer incidence among women with a family history of breast cancer by relative's age at diagnosis. *Cancer.* 2022;128(24):4232-4240.
- 12. Engmann NJ, Scott CG, Jensen MR, et al. Combined effect of volumetric breast density and body mass index on breast cancer risk. *Breast cancer research and treatment*. 2019;177(1):165-173.
- 13. Advani SM, Zhu W, Demb J, et al. Association of Breast Density With Breast Cancer Risk Among Women Aged 65 Years or Older by Age Group and Body Mass Index. *JAMA network open.* 2021;4(8):e2122810.
- 14. Heine J, Fowler E, Scott CG, et al. Mammographic Variation Measures, Breast Density, and Breast Cancer Risk. *AJR American journal of roentgenology*. 2021;217(2):326-335.
- 15. Kerlikowske K, Scott CG, Mahmoudzadeh AP, et al. Automated and Clinical Breast Imaging Reporting and Data System Density Measures Predict Risk of Screen-Detected and Interval Cancers. *Annals of internal medicine*. 2018;168(11):757-765.
- 16. Hoffman J, Fejerman L, Hu D, et al. Identification of novel common breast cancer risk variants at the 6q25 locus among Latinas. *Breast cancer research : BCR.* 2019;21(1):3.
- 17. Shieh Y, Fejerman L, Lott PC, et al. A polygenic risk score for breast cancer in U.S. Latinas and Latin-American women. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*. 2020;112(6):590-598.
- 18. Sieh W, Rothstein JH, Klein RJ, et al. Identification of 31 loci for mammographic density phenotypes and their associations with breast cancer risk. *Nature communications*. 2020;11(1):5116.
- 19. Adedokun B, Du Z, Gao G, et al. Cross-ancestry GWAS meta-analysis identifies six breast cancer loci in African and European ancestry women. *Nature communications*. 2021;12(1):4198.
- 20. Liu C, Zeinomar N, Chung WK, et al. Generalizability of Polygenic Risk Scores for Breast Cancer Among Women With European, African, and Latinx Ancestry. *JAMA network open.* 2021;4(8):e2119084.
- 21. Chen H, Fan S, Stone J, et al. Genome-wide and transcriptome-wide association studies of mammographic density phenotypes reveal novel loci. *Breast cancer research : BCR.* 2022;24(1):27.
- 22. Shieh Y, Roger J, Yau C, et al. Development and testing of a polygenic risk score for breast cancer aggressiveness. *NPJ precision oncology.* 2023;7(1):42.
- 23. Kerlikowske K, Gard CC, Tice JA, et al. Risk Factors That Increase Risk of Estrogen Receptor-Positive and -Negative Breast Cancer. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*. 2016;109(5):djw276.
- 24. Brentnall AR, Cuzick J, Buist DSM, Bowles EJA. Long-term Accuracy of Breast Cancer Risk Assessment Combining Classic Risk Factors and Breast Density. *JAMA oncology.* 2018;4(9):e180174.
- 25. Sweeney C, Bernard PS, Factor RE, et al. Intrinsic subtypes from PAM50 gene expression assay in a populationbased breast cancer cohort: Differences by age, race, and tumor characteristics. *Cancer epidemiology, biomarkers & prevention : a publication of the American Association for Cancer Research, cosponsored by the American Society of Preventive Oncology.* 2014;23(5):714-724.
- 26. Dehal A, Abbas A, Johna S. Racial disparities in clinical presentation, surgical treatment and in-hospital outcomes of women with breast cancer: analysis of nationwide inpatient sample database. *Breast cancer research and treatment.* 2013;139(2):561-569.
- 27. Luo J, Kroenke CH, Hendryx M, et al. Mediation analysis of racial disparities in triple-negative breast cancer incidence among postmenopausal women. *Breast cancer research and treatment.* 2021;188(1):283-293.



- 28. Hiatt RA, Porco TC, Liu F, et al. A multi-level model of postmenopausal breast cancer incidence. *Cancer epidemiology, biomarkers & prevention : a publication of the American Association for Cancer Research, cosponsored by the American Society of Preventive Oncology.* 2014;23(10):2078-2092.
- 29. Alexeeff SE, Odo NU, Lipson JA, et al. Age at menarche and late adolescent adiposity associated with mammographic density on processed digital mammograms in 24,840 women. *Cancer epidemiology, biomarkers & prevention : a publication of the American Association for Cancer Research, cosponsored by the American Society of Preventive Oncology.* 2017;26(9):1450-1458.
- 30. Chlebowski RT, Mortimer JE, Crandall CJ, et al. Persistent vasomotor symptoms and breast cancer in the Women's Health Initiative. *Menopause (New York, NY)*. 2018;26(6):578-587.
- 31. Trabert B, Bauer DC, Buist DSM, et al. Association of Circulating Progesterone With Breast Cancer Risk Among Postmenopausal Women. *JAMA network open.* 2020;3(4):e203645.
- 32. Kwan ML, Bernard PS, Kroenke CH, et al. Breastfeeding, PAM50 Tumor Subtype, and Breast Cancer Prognosis and Survival. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*. 2015;107(7):04.
- 33. Arthur R, Wassertheil-Smoller S, Manson JE, et al. The combined association of modifiable risk factors with breast cancer risk in the Women's Health Initiative. *Cancer prevention research (Philadelphia, Pa).* 2018;11(6):317-326.
- 34. Nyante SJ, Gierach GL, Dallal CM, et al. Cigarette smoking and postmenopausal breast cancer risk in a prospective cohort. *British journal of cancer.* 2014;110(9):2339-2347.
- 35. Thomson CA, Van Horn L, Caan BJ, et al. Cancer incidence and mortality during the intervention and postintervention periods of the Women's Health Initiative dietary modification trial. *Cancer epidemiology, biomarkers & prevention : a publication of the American Association for Cancer Research, cosponsored by the American Society of Preventive Oncology.* 2014;23(12):2924-2935.
- Neuhouser ML, Aragaki AK, Prentice RL, et al. Overweight, Obesity, and Postmenopausal Invasive Breast Cancer Risk: A Secondary Analysis of the Women's Health Initiative Randomized Clinical Trials. *JAMA oncology*. 2015;1(5):611-621.
- 37. Iyengar NM, Arthur R, Manson JE, et al. Association of Body Fat and Risk of Breast Cancer in Postmenopausal Women With Normal Body Mass Index: A Secondary Analysis of a Randomized Clinical Trial and Observational Study. *JAMA oncology.* 2019;5(2):155-163.
- 38. Teras LR, Patel AV, Wang M, et al. Sustained weight loss and risk of breast cancer in women 50 years and older: a pooled analysis of prospective data. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*. 2020;112(9):929-937.
- Arthur R, Wang Y, Ye K, et al. Association between lifestyle, menstrual/reproductive history, and histological factors and risk of breast cancer in women biopsied for benign breast disease. *Breast cancer research and treatment*. 2017;165(3):623-631.
- 40. Ettinger B, Quesenberry C, Schroeder DA, Friedman G. Long-term postmenopausal estrogen therapy may be associated with increased risk of breast cancer: a cohort study. *Menopause (New York, NY)*. 2018;25(11):1191-1194.
- 41. Chlebowski RT, Anderson GL, Gass M, et al. Estrogen plus progestin and breast cancer incidence and mortality in postmenopausal women. *JAMA*. 2010;304(15):1684-1692.
- 42. Caan BJ, Cespedes Feliciano EM, Prado CM, et al. Association of Muscle and Adiposity Measured by Computed Tomography With Survival in Patients With Nonmetastatic Breast Cancer. *JAMA oncology.* 2018;4(6):798-804.
- 43. Bradshaw PT, Cespedes Feliciano EM, Prado CM, et al. Adipose Tissue Distribution and Survival Among Women with Nonmetastatic Breast Cancer. *Obesity (Silver Spring, Md).* 2019;27(6):997-1004.
- 44. Cespedes Feliciano EM, Chen WY, Lee V, et al. Body Composition, Adherence to Anthracycline and Taxane-Based Chemotherapy, and Survival After Nonmetastatic Breast Cancer. *JAMA oncology.* 2020;6(2):264-270.
- 45. Dieli-Conwright CM, Nelson RA, Simon MS, et al. Cardiometabolic risk factors, physical activity, and postmenopausal breast cancer mortality: results from the Women's Health Initiative. *BMC women's health*. 2022;22(1):32.
- 46. Zheng J, Tabung FK, Zhang J, et al. Association between post-cancer diagnosis dietary inflammatory potential and mortality among invasive breast cancer survivors in the Women's Health Initiative. *Cancer epidemiology, biomarkers* &



prevention : a publication of the American Association for Cancer Research, cosponsored by the American Society of Preventive Oncology. 2018;27(4):454-463.

- 47. Sun Y, Bao W, Liu B, et al. Changes in Overall Diet Quality in Relation to Survival in Postmenopausal Women with Breast Cancer: Results from the Women's Health Initiative. *Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics*. 2018;118(10):1855-1863.e1856.
- 48. Bowles EJ, Wellman R, Feigelson HS, et al. Risk of heart failure in breast cancer patients after anthracycline and trastuzumab treatment: a retrospective cohort study. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*. 2012;104(17):1293-1305.
- 49. Ezaz G, Long JB, Gross CP, Chen J. Risk prediction model for heart failure and cardiomyopathy after adjuvant trastuzumab therapy for breast cancer. *Journal of the American Heart Association*. 2014;3(1):e000472.
- 50. Wang SY, Long JB, Hurria A, et al. Cardiovascular events, early discontinuation of trastuzumab, and their impact on survival. *Breast cancer research and treatment.* 2014;146(2):411-419.
- 51. Haque R, Shi J, Schottinger JE, et al. Cardiovascular Disease After Aromatase Inhibitor Use. *JAMA oncology.* 2016;2(12):1590-1597.
- 52. Xu X, Chlebowski RT, Shi J, Barac A, Haque R. Aromatase inhibitor and tamoxifen use and the risk of venous thromboembolism in breast cancer survivors. *Breast cancer research and treatment*. 2019;174(3):785-794.
- 53. Rillamas-Sun E, Kwan ML, Iribarren C, et al. Development of cardiometabolic risk factors following endocrine therapy in women with breast cancer. *Breast cancer research and treatment*. 2023;201(1):117-126.
- 54. Greenlee H, Iribarren C, Rana JS, et al. Risk of Cardiovascular Disease in Women With and Without Breast Cancer: The Pathways Heart Study. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology.* 2022;40(15):1647-1658.
- 55. Kwan ML, Cheng RK, Iribarren C, et al. Risk of Cardiometabolic Risk Factors in Women With and Without a History of Breast Cancer: The Pathways Heart Study. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology.* 2022;40(15):1635-1646.
- 56. Rashid N, Koh HA, Baca HC, et al. Clinical Impact of Chemotherapy-Related Adverse Events in Patients with Metastatic Breast Cancer in an Integrated Health Care System. *Journal of managed care & specialty pharmacy.* 2015;21(10):863-871.
- 57. Greenlee H, Hershman DL, Shi Z, et al. BMI, Lifestyle Factors and Taxane-Induced Neuropathy in Breast Cancer Patients: The Pathways Study. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*. 2017;109(2):1-8.
- 58. Bandos H, Melnikow J, Rivera DR, et al. Long-term Peripheral Neuropathy in Breast Cancer Patients Treated With Adjuvant Chemotherapy: NRG Oncology/NSABP B-30. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*. 2018;110(2):djx162.
- 59. Hershman DL, Unger JM, Crew KD, et al. Two-Year Trends of Taxane-Induced Neuropathy in Women Enrolled in a Randomized Trial of Acetyl-L-Carnitine (SWOG S0715). *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*. 2018;110(6):669-676.
- 60. Henry NL, Unger JM, Schott AF, et al. Randomized, Multicenter, Placebo-Controlled Clinical Trial of Duloxetine Versus Placebo for Aromatase Inhibitor-Associated Arthralgias in Early-Stage Breast Cancer: SWOG S1202. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology.* 2018;36(4):326-332.
- 61. Hershman DL, Unger JM, Greenlee H, et al. Effect of Acupuncture vs Sham Acupuncture or Waitlist Control on Joint Pain Related to Aromatase Inhibitors Among Women With Early-Stage Breast Cancer: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA. 2018;320(2):167-176.
- 62. Pawloski PA, Geiger AM, Haque R, et al. Fracture Risk in Older, Long-Term Survivors of Early-Stage Breast Cancer. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*. 2013;61(6):888-895.
- 63. Chau S, Chandra M, Grimsrud CD, Gonzalez JR, Hui RL, Lo JC. Femur fracture classification in women with a history of breast cancer. *Journal of bone oncology.* 2014;3(2):49-53.
- 64. Lo JC, Laurent CA, Roh JM, et al. Description of Major Osteoporotic Fractures in Women with Invasive Breast Cancer Who Received Endocrine Therapy. *JAMA network open*. 2021;4(11):e2133861. Epub 2132021-2133811.
- 65. Yao S, Laurent CA, Roh JM, et al. Serum bone markers and risk of osteoporosis and fragility fractures in women who received endocrine therapy for breast cancer: a prospective study. *Breast cancer research and treatment.* 2020.



- 66. Kwan ML, Cheng RK, Iribarren C, et al. Risk of heart failure with preserved versus reduced ejection fraction in women with breast cancer. *Breast cancer research and treatment.* 2022.
- 67. Hassett MJ, Uno H, Cronin AM, Carroll NM, Hornbrook MC, Ritzwoller DP. Comparing Survival After Recurrent vs De Novo Stage IV Advanced Breast, Lung, and Colorectal Cancer. *JNCI cancer spectrum.* 2018;2(2):pky024.
- 68. Fehrenbacher L, Capra AM, Quesenberry CP, Fulton R, Shiraz P, Habel LA. Distant Invasive Breast Cancer Recurrence Risk in Human Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor 2-Positive T1a and T1b Node-Negative Localized Breast Cancer Diagnosed From 2000 to 2006: A Cohort From an Integrated Health Care Delivery System. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology.* 2014;32(20):2151-2158.
- 69. Ritzwoller DP, Hassett MJ, Uno H, et al. Development, Validation, and Dissemination of a Breast Cancer Recurrence Detection and Timing Informatics Algorithm. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*. 2018;110(3):273-281.
- 70. Hastings J, Iganej S, Huang C, Huang R, Slezak J. Risk Factors for Locoregional Recurrence After Mastectomy in Stage T1 N0 Breast Cancer. *American journal of clinical oncology.* 2014;37(5):486-491.
- 71. Vuong B, Darbinian J, Savitz A, et al. Breast Cancer Recurrence by Subtype in a Diverse, Contemporary Cohort of Young Women. *Journal of the American College of Surgeons*. 2023;237(1):13-23.
- 72. Allen LA, Yood MU, Wagner EH, et al. Performance of Claims-based Algorithms for Identifying Heart Failure and Cardiomyopathy Among Patients Diagnosed With Breast Cancer. *Medical care*. 2014;52(5):e30-38.
- 73. Hershman DL, Unger JM, Crew KD, et al. Randomized Double-Blind Placebo-Controlled Trial of Acetyl-L-Carnitine for the Prevention of Taxane-Induced Neuropathy in Women Undergoing Adjuvant Breast Cancer Therapy. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology.* 2013;31(20):2627-2633.
- 74. Kroenke CH, Michael YL, Shu XO, et al. Post-diagnosis social networks, and lifestyle and treatment factors in the After Breast Cancer Pooling Project. *Psycho-oncology.* 2017;26(4):544-552.
- 75. Kroenke CH, Michael YL, Poole EM, et al. Postdiagnosis social networks and breast cancer mortality in the After Breast Cancer Pooling Project. *Cancer.* 2017;123(7):1228-1237.
- 76. Pan K, Aragaki AK, Neuhouser ML, et al. Low-fat dietary pattern and breast cancer mortality by metabolic syndrome components: a secondary analysis of the Women's Health Initiative (WHI) randomised trial. *British journal of cancer*. 2021;125(3):372-379.
- 77. Feigelson HS, Bodelon C, Powers JD, et al. Body Mass Index and Risk of Second Cancer among Women with Breast Cancer. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*. 2021;113(9):1156-1160.
- 78. Garcia C, Powell CB. A comprehensive approach to the identification and management of the BRCA patient. *Obstetrical & gynecological survey.* 2015;70(2):131-143.
- 79. Goddard KA, Weinmann S, Richert-Boe K, Chen C, Bulkley J, Wax C. HER2 Evaluation and Its Impact on Breast Cancer Treatment Decisions. *Public health genomics*. 2012;15(1):1-10.
- 80. Pocobelli G, Chubak J, Hanson N, et al. Prophylactic oophorectomy rates in relation to a guideline update on referral to genetic counseling. *Gynecologic oncology*. 2012;126(2):229-235.
- 81. Knerr S, Bowles EJA, Leppig KA, Buist DSM, Gao H, Wernli KJ. Trends in BRCA Test Utilization in an Integrated Health System, 2005-2015. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*. 2019;111(8):795-802.
- 82. Powell CB, Littell R, Hoodfar E, Sinclair F, Pressman A. Does the Diagnosis of Breast or Ovarian Cancer Trigger Referral to Genetic Counseling? *International journal of gynecological cancer : official journal of the International Gynecological Cancer Society.* 2013;23(3):431-436.
- 83. Gierach GL, Curtis RE, Pfeiffer RM, et al. Association of Adjuvant Tamoxifen and Aromatase Inhibitor Therapy With Contralateral Breast Cancer Risk Among US Women With Breast Cancer in a General Community Setting. *JAMA oncology.* 2017;3(2):186-193.
- 84. Nichols HB, Stürmer T, Lee VS, et al. Breast Cancer Chemoprevention in an Integrated Health Care Setting. *JCO clinical cancer informatics*. 2017;1:1-12.
- 85. Chlebowski RT, Haque R, Hedlin H, et al. Benefit/risk for adjuvant breast cancer therapy with tamoxifen or aromatase inhibitor use by age, and race/ethnicity. *Breast cancer research and treatment.* 2015;154(3):609-616.



- 86. Chlebowski RT, Schottinger JE, Shi J, Chung J, Haque R. Aromatase inhibitors, tamoxifen, and endometrial cancer in breast cancer survivors. *Cancer.* 2015;121(13):2147-2155.
- 87. Feigelson HS, Caan B, Weinmann S, et al. Bariatric Surgery is Associated With Reduced Risk of Breast Cancer in Both Premenopausal and Postmenopausal Women. *Annals of surgery.* 2020;272(6):1053-1059.
- 88. Hassett MJ, Uno H, Cronin AM, et al. Survival after recurrence of stage I-III breast, colorectal, or lung cancer. *Cancer epidemiology.* 2017;49:186-194.
- 89. Lin JS, Mustafa RA, Wilt TJ, Horwitch CA, Qaseem A. Screening for Breast Cancer in Average-Risk Women. *Annals of internal medicine.* 2019;171(6):451-452.
- 90. Qaseem A, Lin JS, Mustafa RA, Horwitch CA, Wilt TJ, Clinical Guidelines Committee of the American College of P. Screening for Breast Cancer in Average-Risk Women: A Guidance Statement From the American College of Physicians. *Annals of internal medicine*. 2019;170(8):547-560.
- 91. Schousboe JT, Sprague BL, Abraham L, et al. Cost-Effectiveness of Screening Mammography Beyond Age 75 Years : A Cost-Effectiveness Analysis. *Annals of internal medicine*. 2022;175(1):11-19.
- 92. Sprague BL, Miglioretti DL, Lee CI, Perry H, Tosteson AAN, Kerlikowske K. New mammography screening performance metrics based on the entire screening episode. *Cancer.* 2020;126(14):3289-3296.
- 93. Kerlikowske K, Miglioretti DL, Vachon CM. Discussions of Dense Breasts, Breast Cancer Risk, and Screening Choices in 2019. *JAMA*. 2019;322(1):69-70.
- Kerlikowske K, Sprague BL, Tosteson ANA, et al. Strategies to Identify Women at High Risk of Advanced Breast Cancer During Routine Screening for Discussion of Supplemental Imaging. *JAMA internal medicine*. 2019;179(9):1230-1239.
- 95. Buist DSM, Gao H, Anderson ML, et al. Breast cancer screening outreach effectiveness: Mammogram-specific reminders vs. comprehensive preventive services birthday letters. *Preventive medicine*. 2017;102:49-58.
- 96. Jena AB, Huang J, Fireman B, et al. Screening Mammography for Free: Impact of Eliminating Cost Sharing on Cancer Screening Rates. *Health services research.* 2017;52(1):191-206.
- 97. Hayward JH, Ray KM, Wisner DJ, et al. Improving Screening Mammography Outcomes Through Comparison With Multiple Prior Mammograms. *AJR American journal of roentgenology*. 2016;207(4):918-924.
- 98. Moiel D, Thompson J. Early detection of breast cancer using a self-referral mammography process: the kaiser permanente northwest 20-year history. *The Permanente journal*. 2014;18(1):43-48.
- 99. Lee-Lin F, Menon U, Leo MC, Pedhiwala N. Feasibility of a targeted breast health education intervention for Chinese American immigrant women. *Oncology nursing forum.* 2013;40(4):361-372.
- 100. Coronado GD, Jimenez R, Martinez-Gutierrez J, et al. Multi-level Intervention to increase participation in mammography screening: A ¡Fortaleza Latina! study design. *Contemporary clinical trials.* 2014;38(2):350-354.
- 101. Scheel JR, Tillack AA, Mercer L, et al. Mobile Versus Fixed Facility: Latinas' Attitudes and Preferences for Obtaining a Mammogram. *Journal of the American College of Radiology : JACR.* 2018;15(1 Pt A):19-28.
- 102. Lee CI, Abraham L, Miglioretti DL, et al. National Performance Benchmarks for Screening Digital Breast Tomosynthesis: Update from the Breast Cancer Surveillance Consortium. *Radiology*. 2023;307(4):e222499.
- 103. Tice JA, Miglioretti DL, Li CS, Vachon CM, Gard CC, Kerlikowske K. Breast Density and Benign Breast Disease: Risk Assessment to Identify Women at High Risk of Breast Cancer. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology.* 2015;33(28):3137-3143.
- 104. Tice JA, Bissell MCS, Miglioretti DL, et al. Validation of the breast cancer surveillance consortium model of breast cancer risk. *Breast cancer research and treatment*. 2019;175(2):519-523.
- 105. Breast Cancer Surveillance Consortium. BCSC Breast Cancer Risk Calculator. 2015; <u>https://tools.bcsc-scc.org/bc5yearrisk/calculator.htm</u>. Accessed August 23, 2019.
- 106. Lai YC, Ray KM, Lee AY, et al. Microcalcifications Detected at Screening Mammography: Synthetic Mammography and Digital Breast Tomosynthesis versus Digital Mammography. *Radiology.* 2018;289(3):630-638.



- 107. Schaffter T, Buist DSM, Lee CI, et al. Evaluation of Combined Artificial Intelligence and Radiologist Assessment to Interpret Screening Mammograms. *JAMA network open.* 2020;3(3):e200265.
- 108. Miles R, Wan F, Onega TL, et al. Underutilization of Supplemental Magnetic Resonance Imaging Screening Among Patients at High Breast Cancer Risk. *Journal of women's health (2002).* 2018;27(6):748-754.
- 109. Hill DA, Haas JS, Wellman R, et al. Utilization of breast cancer screening with magnetic resonance imaging in community practice. *Journal of general internal medicine*. 2018;33(3):275-283.
- 110. Kerlikowske K, Chen S, Golmakani MK, et al. Cumulative Advanced Breast Cancer Risk Prediction Model Developed in a Screening Mammography Population. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*. 2022;114(5):676-685.
- 111. Lowry KP, Coley RY, Miglioretti DL, et al. Screening Performance of Digital Breast Tomosynthesis vs Digital Mammography in Community Practice by Patient Age, Screening Round, and Breast Density. JAMA network open. 2020;3(7):e2011792.
- 112. Sprague BL, Coley RY, Kerlikowske K, et al. Assessment of Radiologist Performance in Breast Cancer Screening Using Digital Breast Tomosynthesis vs Digital Mammography. *JAMA network open.* 2020;3(3):e201759.
- 113. Lee JM, Ichikawa LE, Wernli KJ, et al. Digital Mammography and Breast Tomosynthesis Performance in Women with a Personal History of Breast Cancer, 2007-2016. *Radiology*. 2021;300(2):290-300.
- 114. Kerlikowske K, Su YR, Sprague BL, et al. Association of Screening With Digital Breast Tomosynthesis vs Digital Mammography With Risk of Interval Invasive and Advanced Breast Cancer. *JAMA*. 2022;327(22):2220-2230.
- 115. Sprague BL, Coley RY, Lowry KP, et al. Digital Breast Tomosynthesis versus Digital Mammography Screening Performance on Successive Screening Rounds from the Breast Cancer Surveillance Consortium. *Radiology.* 2023;307(5):e223142.
- 116. Ho TH, Bissell MCS, Lee CI, et al. Prioritizing Screening Mammograms for Immediate Interpretation and Diagnostic Evaluation Based on Risk of Recall. *Journal of the American College of Radiology : JACR.* 2023;20(3):299-310.
- 117. Hsu W, Hippe DS, Nakhaei N, et al. External Validation of an Ensemble Model for Automated Mammography Interpretation by Artificial Intelligence. *JAMA network open.* 2022;5(11):e2242343.
- 118. Anderson AW, Marinovich ML, Houssami N, et al. Independent External Validation of Artificial Intelligence Algorithms for Automated Interpretation of Screening Mammography: A Systematic Review. *Journal of the American College of Radiology : JACR*. 2022;19(2 Pt A):259-273.
- Schifferdecker KE, Vaclavik D, Wernli KJ, et al. Women's considerations and experiences for breast cancer screening and surveillance during the COVID-19 pandemic in the United States: A focus group study. *Preventive medicine*. 2021;151:106542.
- 120. Sprague BL, Lowry KP, Miglioretti DL, et al. Changes in Mammography Utilization by Women's Characteristics during the First 5 Months of the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*. 2021;113(9):1161-1167.
- 121. Sprague BL, O'Meara ES, Lee CI, et al. Prioritizing breast imaging services during the COVID pandemic: A survey of breast imaging facilities within the Breast Cancer Surveillance Consortium. *Preventive medicine*. 2021;151:106540.
- 122. Miglioretti DL, Bissell MCS, Kerlikowske K, et al. Assessment of a Risk-Based Approach for Triaging Mammography Examinations During Periods of Reduced Capacity. *JAMA network open.* 2021;4(3):e211974.
- 123. Tang A, Neeman E, Vuong B, et al. Care in the time of COVID-19: impact on the diagnosis and treatment of breast cancer in a large, integrated health care system. *Breast cancer research and treatment.* 2022;191(3):665-675.
- 124. Lowry KP, Bissell M, Miglioretti DL, et al. Breast Biopsy Recommendations and Breast Cancers Diagnosed during the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Radiology*. 2022;303(2):287-294.
- 125. Tang A, Neeman E, Kuehner GE, et al. Telehealth for Preoperative Evaluation of Patients With Breast Cancer During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *The Permanente journal*. 2022;26(2):54-63.
- 126. Collins LC, Achacoso N, Haque R, et al. Risk factors for non-invasive and invasive local recurrence in patients with ductal carcinoma in situ. *Breast cancer research and treatment.* 2013;139(2):453-460.
- 127. Collins LC, Achacoso N, Haque R, et al. Risk Prediction for Local Breast Cancer Recurrence Among Women with DCIS Treated in a Community Practice: A Nested, Case-Control Study. *Annals of surgical oncology.* 2015;22(Suppl 3):S502-508.



- 128. Lee JM, Abraham L, Lam DL, et al. Cumulative Risk Distribution for Interval Invasive Second Breast Cancers After Negative Surveillance Mammography. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology.* 2018;36(20):2070-2077.
- 129. Lee JM, Buist DS, Houssami N, et al. Five-year risk of interval-invasive second breast cancer. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*. 2015;107(7):djv109.
- 130. Wernli KJ, Ichikawa L, Kerlikowske K, et al. Surveillance Breast MRI and Mammography: Comparison in Women with a Personal History of Breast Cancer. *Radiology.* 2019;292(2):311-318.
- 131. Su YR, Buist DSM, Lee JM, et al. Performance of statistical and machine learning risk prediction models for surveillance benefits and failures in breast cancer survivors. *Cancer epidemiology, biomarkers & prevention : a publication of the American Association for Cancer Research, cosponsored by the American Society of Preventive Oncology.* 2023;32(4):561-571.
- 132. Kwan TT, Bardia A, Spring LM, et al. A digital RNA signature of Circulating Tumor Cells predicting early therapeutic response in localized and metastatic breast cancer. *Cancer discovery.* 2018;8(10):1286-1299.
- 133. Lieu TA, Ray GT, Prausnitz SR, et al. Oncologist and organizational factors associated with variation in breast cancer multigene testing. *Breast cancer research and treatment*. 2017;163(1):167-176.
- 134. Chandler Y, Schechter CB, Jayasekera J, et al. Cost Effectiveness of Gene Expression Profile Testing in Community Practice. Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology. 2018;36(6):554-562.
- 135. Ray GT, Mandelblatt J, Habel LA, et al. Breast cancer multigene testing trends and impact on chemotherapy use. *The American journal of managed care*. 2016;22(5):e153-160.
- 136. Ryser MD, Lange J, Inoue LYT, et al. Estimation of Breast Cancer Overdiagnosis in a U.S. Breast Screening Cohort. *Annals of internal medicine*. 2022;175(4):471-478.
- 137. Advani S, Abraham L, Buist DSM, et al. Breast biopsy patterns and findings among older women undergoing screening mammography: The role of age and comorbidity. *Journal of geriatric oncology*. 2022;13(2):161-169.
- 138. Mandelblatt JS, Stout NK, Schechter CB, et al. Collaborative Modeling of the Benefits and Harms Associated With Different U.S. Breast Cancer Screening Strategies. *Annals of internal medicine*. 2016;164(4):215-225.
- 139. van Ravesteyn NT, Stout NK, Schechter CB, et al. Benefits and harms of mammography screening after age 74 years: model estimates of overdiagnosis. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*. 2015;107(7):djv103.
- 140. Ho TH, Bissell MCS, Kerlikowske K, et al. Cumulative Probability of False-Positive Results After 10 Years of Screening With Digital Breast Tomosynthesis vs Digital Mammography. *JAMA network open.* 2022;5(3):e222440.
- 141. Sledge GW, Toi M, Neven P, et al. The Effect of Abemaciclib Plus Fulvestrant on Overall Survival in Hormone Receptor-Positive, ERBB2-Negative Breast Cancer That Progressed on Endocrine Therapy-MONARCH 2: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA oncology*. 2020;6(1):116-124.
- 142. Sledge GW, Toi M, Neven P, et al. MONARCH 2: Abemaciclib in Combination With Fulvestrant in Women With HR+/HER2- Advanced Breast Cancer Who Had Progressed While Receiving Endocrine Therapy. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology.* 2017;35(25):2875-2884.
- 143. Ganz PA, Cecchini RS, Julian TB, et al. Patient-reported outcomes with anastrozole versus tamoxifen for postmenopausal patients with ductal carcinoma in situ treated with lumpectomy plus radiotherapy (NSABP B-35): a randomised, double-blind, phase 3 clinical trial. *Lancet (London, England)*. 2016;387(10021):857-865.
- 144. Margolese RG, Cecchini RS, Julian TB, et al. Anastrozole versus tamoxifen in postmenopausal women with ductal carcinoma in situ undergoing lumpectomy plus radiotherapy (NSABP B-35): a randomised, double-blind, phase 3 clinical trial. *Lancet (London, England)*. 2016;387(10021):849-856.
- 145. Hertz DL, Barlow WE, Kidwell KM, et al. Fulvestrant decreases anastrozole drug concentrations when taken concurrently by patients with metastatic breast cancer treated on SWOG study S0226. *British journal of clinical pharmacology.* 2016;81(6):1134-1141.
- 146. Smith JW, Buyse ME, Rastogi P, et al. Epirubicin With Cyclophosphamide Followed by Docetaxel With Trastuzumab and Bevacizumab as Neoadjuvant Therapy for HER2-Positive Locally Advanced Breast Cancer or as Adjuvant Therapy



for HER2-Positive Pathologic Stage III Breast Cancer: A Phase II Trial of the NSABP Foundation Research Group, FB-5. *Clinical breast cancer.* 2017;17(1):48-54.

- 147. Swain SM, Tang G, Geyer CE, et al. Definitive Results of a Phase III Adjuvant Trial Comparing Three Chemotherapy Regimens in Women With Operable, Node-Positive Breast Cancer: The NSABP B-38 Trial. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology.* 2013;31(26):3197-3204.
- 148. Mehta RS, Barlow WE, Albain KS, et al. Overall Survival with Fulvestrant plus Anastrozole in Metastatic Breast Cancer. *The New England journal of medicine*. 2019;380(13):1226-1234.
- 149. Gnant M, Dueck AC, Frantal S, et al. Adjuvant Palbociclib for Early Breast Cancer: The PALLAS Trial Results (ABCSG-42/AFT-05/BIG-14-03). Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology. 2022;40(3):282-293.
- 150. Hurvitz SA, Gonçalves A, Rugo HS, et al. Talazoparib in Patients with a Germline BRCA-Mutated Advanced Breast Cancer: Detailed Safety Analyses from the Phase III EMBRACA Trial. *The oncologist.* 2020;25(3):e439-e450.
- 151. Rugo HS, Roche H, Thomas E, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Ixabepilone and Capecitabine in Patients With Advanced Triple-negative Breast Cancer: a Pooled Analysis From Two Large Phase III, Randomized Clinical Trials. *Clinical breast cancer.* 2018;18(6):489-497.
- 152. Mamounas EP, Untch M, Mano MS, et al. Adjuvant T-DM1 versus trastuzumab in patients with residual invasive disease after neoadjuvant therapy for HER2-positive breast cancer: subgroup analyses from KATHERINE. *Annals of oncology : official journal of the European Society for Medical Oncology / ESMO.* 2021;32(8):1005-1014.
- 153. Adams S, Othus M, Patel SP, et al. A Multicenter Phase II Trial of Ipilimumab and Nivolumab in Unresectable or Metastatic Metaplastic Breast Cancer: Cohort 36 of Dual Anti-CTLA-4 and Anti-PD-1 Blockade in Rare Tumors (DART, SWOG S1609). Clinical cancer research : an official journal of the American Association for Cancer Research. 2022;28(2):271-278.
- 154. Mueller V, Wardley A, Paplomata E, et al. Preservation of quality of life in patients with human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-positive metastatic breast cancer treated with tucatinib or placebo when added to trastuzumab and capecitabine (HER2CLIMB trial). *European journal of cancer (Oxford, England : 1990).* 2021;153:223-233.
- 155. Krop IE, Im SA, Barrios C, et al. Trastuzumab Emtansine Plus Pertuzumab Versus Taxane Plus Trastuzumab Plus Pertuzumab After Anthracycline for High-Risk Human Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor 2-Positive Early Breast Cancer: The Phase III KAITLIN Study. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology*. 2022;40(5):438-448.
- 156. Rodler E, Sharma P, Barlow WE, et al. Cisplatin with veliparib or placebo in metastatic triple-negative breast cancer and BRCA mutation-associated breast cancer (S1416): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 2 trial. *The Lancet Oncology.* 2023;24(2):162-174.
- 157. National Cancer Institute. NCORP: About. <u>https://ncorp.cancer.gov/about/</u>. Accessed September 18, 2018.
- 158. Bear HD, Tang G, Rastogi P, et al. Neoadjuvant plus adjuvant bevacizumab in early breast cancer (NSABP B-40 [NRG Oncology]): secondary outcomes of a phase 3, randomised controlled trial. *The Lancet Oncology*. 2015;16(9):1037-1048.
- 159. Bear HD, Tang G, Rastogi P, et al. The Effect on Surgical Complications of Bevacizumab Added to Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy for Breast Cancer: NRG Oncology/NSABP Protocol B-40. Annals of surgical oncology. 2017;24(7):1853-1860.
- 160. Blum JL, Flynn PJ, Yothers G, et al. Anthracyclines in Early Breast Cancer: The ABC Trials-USOR 06-090, NSABP B-46-I/USOR 07132, and NSABP B-49 (NRG Oncology). *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology.* 2017;35(23):2647-2655.
- 161. Ganz PA, Romond EH, Cecchini RS, et al. Long-Term Follow-Up of Cardiac Function and Quality of Life for Patients in NSABP Protocol B-31/NRG Oncology: A Randomized Trial Comparing the Safety and Efficacy of Doxorubicin and Cyclophosphamide (AC) Followed by Paclitaxel With AC Followed by Paclitaxel and Trastuzumab in Patients With Node-Positive Breast Cancer With Tumors Overexpressing Human Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor 2. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology.* 2017;35(35):3942-3948.



- 162. Mamounas EP, Bandos H, Lembersky BC, et al. Use of letrozole after aromatase inhibitor-based therapy (NRG Oncology/NSABP B-42): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase 3 trial. *The Lancet Oncology*. 2019;20(1):88-99.
- 163. Fehrenbacher L, Cecchini RS, Geyer CE, et al. NSABP B-47/NRG Oncology Phase III Randomized Trial Comparing Adjuvant Chemotherapy With or Without Trastuzumab in High-Risk Invasive Breast Cancer Negative for HER2 by FISH and With IHC 1+ or 2. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology.* 2020;38(5):444-453.
- 164. Tutt ANJ, Garber JE, Kaufman B, et al. Adjuvant Olaparib for Patients with BRCA1- or BRCA2-Mutated Breast Cancer. *The New England journal of medicine*. 2021;384(25):2394-2405.
- 165. Ganz PA, Bandos H, Geyer CE, et al. Behavioral and health outcomes from the NRG Oncology/NSABP B-36 trial comparing two different adjuvant therapy regimens for early-stage node-negative breast cancer. *Breast cancer research and treatment.* 2022;192(1):153-161.
- 166. Mamounas EP, Bandos H, Rastogi P, et al. Ten-year Update: NRG Oncology/NSABP B-42 Randomized Trial: Extended Letrozole Therapy in Early-stage Breast Cancer. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*. 2023.
- 167. Mamounas EP, Bandos H, Rastogi P, et al. Breast Cancer Index and Prediction of Extended Aromatase Inhibitor Therapy Benefit in Hormone Receptor-Positive Breast Cancer from the NRG Oncology/NSABP B-42 Trial. *Clinical cancer research : an official journal of the American Association for Cancer Research.* 2024;30(9):1984-1991.
- 168. Scheel JR, Molina Y, Coronado G, et al. Healthcare Factors for Obtaining a Mammogram in Latinas With a Variable Mammography History. *Oncology nursing forum.* 2017;44(1):66-76.
- 169. Kroenke CH, Hershman DL, Gomez SL, et al. Personal and clinical social support and adherence to adjuvant endocrine therapy among hormone receptor-positive breast cancer patients in an integrated health care system. *Breast cancer research and treatment.* 2018;170(3):623-631.
- 170. Check DK, Chawla N, Kwan ML, et al. Understanding racial/ethnic differences in breast cancer-related physical wellbeing: the role of patient-provider interactions. *Breast cancer research and treatment.* 2018;170(3):593-603.
- 171. Jaiswal K, Hull M, Furniss AL, Doyle R, Gayou N, Bayliss E. Delays in Diagnosis and Treatment of Breast Cancer: A Safety-Net Population Profile. *Journal of the National Comprehensive Cancer Network : JNCCN.* 2018;16(12):1451-1457.
- 172. Perez-Stable EJ, Afable-Munsuz A, Kaplan CP, et al. Factors Influencing Time to Diagnosis After Abnormal Mammography Results in Diverse Women. *Journal of women's health (2002).* 2013;22(2):159-166.
- 173. McCarthy AM, Kim JJ, Beaber EF, et al. Follow-Up of Abnormal Breast and Colorectal Cancer Screening by Race/Ethnicity. *American journal of preventive medicine*. 2016;51(4):507-512.
- 174. Tosteson AN, Beaber EF, Tiro J, et al. Variation in Screening Abnormality Rates and Follow-Up of Breast, Cervical and Colorectal Cancer Screening within the PROSPR Consortium. *Journal of general internal medicine*. 2016;31(4):372-379.
- 175. Rutter CM, Kim JJ, Meester RGS, et al. Effect of Time to Diagnostic Testing for Breast, Cervical, and Colorectal Cancer Screening Abnormalities on Screening Efficacy: A Modeling Study. *Cancer epidemiology, biomarkers & prevention : a publication of the American Association for Cancer Research, cosponsored by the American Society of Preventive Oncology.* 2018;27(2):158-164.
- 176. Lawson MB, Bissell MCS, Miglioretti DL, et al. Multilevel Factors Associated With Time to Biopsy After Abnormal Screening Mammography Results by Race and Ethnicity. *JAMA oncology.* 2022;8(8):1115-1126.
- 177. Caan BJ, Kwan ML, Shu XO, et al. Weight Change and Survival after Breast Cancer in the After Breast Cancer Pooling Project. *Cancer epidemiology, biomarkers & prevention : a publication of the American Association for Cancer Research, cosponsored by the American Society of Preventive Oncology.* 2012;21(8):1260-1271.
- 178. Lafata JE, Salloum RG, Fishman PA, Ritzwoller DP, O'Keeffe-Rosetti MC, Hornbrook MC. Preventive care receipt and office visit use among breast and colorectal cancer survivors relative to age- and gender-matched cancer-free controls. *Journal of cancer survivorship : research and practice.* 2015;9(2):201-207.
- 179. Anyene IC, Ergas IJ, Kwan ML, et al. Plant-Based Dietary Patterns and Breast Cancer Recurrence and Survival in the Pathways Study. *Nutrients*. 2021;13(10):09.



- 180. Ergas IJ, Cespedes Feliciano EM, Bradshaw PT, et al. Diet Quality and Breast Cancer Recurrence and Survival: The Pathways Study. *JNCI cancer spectrum*. 2021;5(2):pkab019.
- 181. Kwan ML, Lo JC, Laurent CA, et al. A prospective study of lifestyle factors and bone health in breast cancer patients who received aromatase inhibitors in an integrated healthcare setting. *Journal of cancer survivorship : research and practice.* 2023;17(1):139-149.
- 182. Shi Z, Rundle A, Genkinger JM, et al. Distinct trajectories of moderate to vigorous physical activity and sedentary behavior following a breast cancer diagnosis: the Pathways Study. *Journal of cancer survivorship : research and practice*. 2020;14(3):393-403.
- 183. Ergas IJ, Bradshaw PT, Cespedes Feliciano EM, et al. Hypothetical Interventions on Diet Quality and Lifestyle Factors to Improve Breast Cancer Survival: The Pathways Study. *Cancer epidemiology, biomarkers & prevention : a publication of the American Association for Cancer Research, cosponsored by the American Society of Preventive Oncology.* 2023;32(12):1716-1725.
- 184. Robidoux A, Tang G, Rastogi P, et al. Lapatinib as a component of neoadjuvant therapy for HER2-positive operable breast cancer (NSABP protocol B-41): an open-label, randomised phase 3 trial. *The Lancet Oncology.* 2013;14(12):1183-1192.
- 185. Yung R, Ray RM, Roth J, et al. The association of delay in curative intent treatment with survival among breast cancer patients: findings from the Women's Health Initiative. *Breast cancer research and treatment.* 2020;180(3):747-757.
- 186. Hassett MJ, Tramontano AC, Uno H, Ritzwoller DP, Punglia RS. Geospatial Disparities in the Treatment of Curable Breast Cancer Across the US. *JAMA oncology.* 2022;8(3):445-449.
- 187. Hershman DL, Unger JM, Hillyer GC, et al. Randomized Trial of Text Messaging to Reduce Early Discontinuation of Adjuvant Aromatase Inhibitor Therapy in Women With Early-Stage Breast Cancer: SWOG S1105. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology.* 2020;38(19):2122-2129.
- 188. Hershman DL, Kushi LH, Hillyer GC, et al. Psychosocial factors related to non-persistence with adjuvant endocrine therapy among women with breast cancer: the Breast Cancer Quality of Care Study (BQUAL). *Breast cancer research and treatment.* 2016;157(1):133-143.
- 189. Nichols HB, Bowles EJ, Islam J, et al. Tamoxifen Initiation After Ductal Carcinoma In Situ. *The oncologist.* 2016;21(2):134-140.
- 190. Bowles EJ, Buist DS, Chubak J, et al. Endocrine therapy initiation from 2001 to 2008 varies by age at breast cancer diagnosis and tumor size. *Journal of oncology practice*. 2012;8(2):113-120.
- 191. Sheppard VB, He J, Sutton A, et al. Adherence to Adjuvant Endocrine Therapy in Insured Black and White Breast Cancer Survivors: Exploring Adherence Measures in Patient Data. *Journal of managed care & specialty pharmacy.* 2019;25(5):578-586.
- 192. Emerson MA, Achacoso NS, Benefield HC, Troester MA, Habel LA. Initiation and adherence to adjuvant endocrine therapy among urban, insured American Indian/Alaska Native breast cancer survivors. *Cancer.* 2021;127(11):1847-1856.
- 193. Kwan ML, Roh JM, Laurent CA, et al. Patterns and reasons for switching classes of hormonal therapy among women with early-stage breast cancer. *Cancer causes & control : CCC.* 2017;28(6):557-562.
- 194. Aiello Bowles EJ, Boudreau DM, Chubak J, et al. Patient-reported discontinuation of endocrine therapy and related adverse effects among women with early-stage breast cancer. *Journal of oncology practice*. 2012;8(6):e149-157.
- 195. Aiello Bowles EJ, Feigelson HS, Barney T, et al. Improving quality of breast cancer surgery through development of a national breast cancer surgical outcomes (BRCASO) research database. *BMC cancer*. 2012;12:136.
- 196. McCahill LE, Single RM, Aiello Bowles EJ, et al. Variability in reexcision following breast conservation surgery. *JAMA*. 2012;307(5):467-475.
- 197. Bodai BI, Tuso P. Breast cancer survivorship: a comprehensive review of long-term medical issues and lifestyle recommendations. *The Permanente journal*. 2015;19(2):48-79.
- 198. Punglia RS, Jiang W, Lipsitz SR, et al. Clinical risk score to predict likelihood of recurrence after ductal carcinoma in situ treated with breast-conserving surgery. *Breast cancer research and treatment.* 2018;167(3):751-759.



- 199. Onega T, Weiss JE, Goodrich ME, et al. Relationship between preoperative breast MRI and surgical treatment of nonmetastatic breast cancer. *Journal of surgical oncology.* 2017;116(8):1008-1015.
- 200. DiCorpo D, Tiwari A, Tang R, et al. The role of Micro-CT in imaging breast cancer specimens. *Breast cancer research and treatment*. 2020;180(2):343-357.
- 201. Buist DS, Bosco JL, Silliman RA, et al. Long-term surveillance mammography and mortality in older women with a history of early stage invasive breast cancer. *Breast cancer research and treatment.* 2013;142(1):153-163.
- 202. Lawson MB, Herschorn SD, Sprague BL, et al. Imaging Surveillance Options for Individuals With a Personal History of Breast Cancer: AJR Expert Panel Narrative Review. *AJR American journal of roentgenology.* 2022;219(6):854-868.
- 203. Chubak J, Onega T, Zhu W, Buist DSM, Hubbard RA. An Electronic Health Record-based Algorithm to Ascertain the Date of Second Breast Cancer Events. *Medical care*. 2017;55(12):e81-e87.
- 204. Chubak J, Yu O, Pocobelli G, et al. Administrative data algorithms to identify second breast cancer events following early-stage invasive breast cancer. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*. 2012;104(12):931-940.
- Salloum RG, Hornbrook MC, Fishman PA, Ritzwoller DP, O'Keeffe Rossetti MC, Elston Lafata J. Adherence to surveillance care guidelines after breast and colorectal cancer treatment with curative intent. *Cancer*. 2012;118(22):5644-5651.
- 206. Brandzel S, Rosenberg DE, Johnson D, et al. Women's experiences and preferences regarding breast imaging after completing breast cancer treatment. *Patient preference and adherence*. 2017;11:199-204.
- 207. Tisnado DM, Mendez-Luck C, Metz J, Peirce K, Montaño B. Perceptions of Survivorship Care among Latina Women with Breast Cancer in Los Angeles County. *Public health nursing (Boston, Mass).* 2017;34(2):118-129.
- 208. Lowry KP, Callaway KA, Lee JM, et al. Trends in Annual Surveillance Mammography Participation Among Breast Cancer Survivors From 2004 to 2016. *Journal of the National Comprehensive Cancer Network : JNCCN.* 2022;20(4):379-386.
- 209. Buist DSM, Ichikawa L, Wernli KJ, et al. Facility Variability in Examination Indication Among Women With Prior Breast Cancer: Implications and the Need for Standardization. *Journal of the American College of Radiology : JACR*. 2020;17(6):755-764.
- 210. Arasu VA, Habel LA, Achacoso NS, et al. Comparison of Mammography Al Algorithms with a Clinical Risk Model for 5year Breast Cancer Risk Prediction: An Observational Study. *Radiology.* 2023;307(5):e222733.
- 211. Gavin PG, Song N, Kim SR, et al. Association of Polymorphisms in FCGR2A and FCGR3A With Degree of Trastuzumab Benefit in the Adjuvant Treatment of ERBB2/HER2-Positive Breast Cancer: Analysis of the NSABP B-31 Trial. JAMA oncology. 2017;3(3):335-341.
- 212. Pogue-Geile KL, Kim C, Jeong JH, et al. Predicting Degree of Benefit From Adjuvant Trastuzumab in NSABP Trial B-31. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*. 2013;105(23):1782-1788.
- 213. Knerr S, Wernli KJ, Leppig K, et al. A web-based personalized risk communication and decision-making tool for women with dense breasts: Design and methods of a randomized controlled trial within an integrated health care system. *Contemporary clinical trials.* 2017;56:25-33.
- 214. Wernli KJ, Knerr S, Li T, et al. Effect of Personalized Breast Cancer Risk Tool on Chemoprevention and Breast Imaging: ENGAGED-2 Trial. *JNCI cancer spectrum*. 2021;5(1):pkaa114.
- 215. Chapman JS, Roddy E, Panighetti A, et al. Comparing Coordinated Versus Sequential Salpingo-Oophorectomy for BRCA1 and BRCA2 Mutation Carriers With Breast Cancer. *Clinical breast cancer.* 2016;16(6):494-499.
- 216. Houssami N, Abraham LA, Kerlikowske K, et al. Risk factors for second screen-detected or interval breast cancers in women with a personal history of breast cancer participating in mammography screening. *Cancer epidemiology, biomarkers & prevention : a publication of the American Association for Cancer Research, cosponsored by the American Society of Preventive Oncology.* 2013;22(5):946-961.
- 217. Lee JM, Ichikawa L, Valencia E, et al. Performance Benchmarks for Screening Breast MR Imaging in Community Practice. *Radiology.* 2017;285(1):44-52.



- 218. Neugut AI, Hillyer GC, Kushi LH, et al. Noninitiation of Adjuvant Chemotherapy in Women With Localized Breast Cancer: The Breast Cancer Quality of Care Study. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology.* 2012;30(31):3800-3809.
- 219. Neugut AI, Hillyer GC, Kushi LH, et al. Non-initiation of adjuvant hormonal therapy in women with hormone receptorpositive breast cancer: The Breast Cancer Quality of Care Study (BQUAL). *Breast cancer research and treatment*. 2012;134(1):419-428.
- 220. Hillyer GC, Hershman DL, Kushi LH, et al. A survey of breast cancer physicians regarding patient involvement in breast cancer treatment decisions. *Breast (Edinburgh, Scotland).* 2013;22(4):548-554.
- 221. Tam EK, Shen L, Munneke JR, et al. Clinician awareness and knowledge of breast cancer-related lymphedema in a large, integrated health care delivery setting. *Breast cancer research and treatment.* 2012;131(3):1029-1038.
- 222. Hahn EE, Munoz-Plaza C, Wang J, et al. Anxiety, Culture, and Expectations: Oncologist-Perceived Factors Associated With Use of Nonrecommended Serum Tumor Marker Tests for Surveillance of Early-Stage Breast Cancer. *Journal of oncology practice*. 2017;13(1):e77-e90.
- 223. Buist DSM, Abraham L, Lee CI, et al. Breast Biopsy Intensity and Findings Following Breast Cancer Screening in Women With and Without a Personal History of Breast Cancer. *JAMA internal medicine*. 2018;178(4):458-468.
- 224. Hahn EE, Tang T, Lee JS, et al. Use of posttreatment imaging and biomarkers in survivors of early-stage breast cancer: Inappropriate surveillance or necessary care? *Cancer.* 2016;122(6):908-916.
- 225. Nekhlyudov L, Habel LA, Achacoso N, et al. Ten-Year Risk of Diagnostic Mammograms and Invasive Breast Procedures After Breast-Conserving Surgery for DCIS. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*. 2012;104(8):614-621.
- 226. A'Mar T, Beatty JD, Fedorenko C, et al. Incorporating Breast Cancer Recurrence Events Into Population-Based Cancer Registries Using Medical Claims: Cohort Study. *JMIR cancer*. 2020;6(2):e18143.
- 227. Lynch BM, Nguyen NH, Moore MM, et al. A randomized controlled trial of a wearable technology-based intervention for increasing moderate to vigorous physical activity and reducing sedentary behavior in breast cancer survivors: The ACTIVATE Trial. *Cancer.* 2019;125(16):2846-2855.
- 228. Lynch BM, Nguyen NH, Reeves MM, et al. Study design and methods for the ACTIVity And TEchnology (ACTIVATE) trial. *Contemporary clinical trials.* 2018;64:112-117.
- 229. Nguyen NH, Hadgraft NT, Moore MM, et al. A qualitative evaluation of breast cancer survivors' acceptance of and preferences for consumer wearable technology activity trackers. *Supportive care in cancer : official journal of the Multinational Association of Supportive Care in Cancer.* 2017;25(11):3375-3384.
- 230. Hahn EE, Munoz-Plaza CE, Pounds D, et al. Effect of a Community-Based Medical Oncology Depression Screening Program on Behavioral Health Referrals Among Patients With Breast Cancer: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA*. 2022;327(1):41-49.
- 231. Horner K, Ludman EJ, McCorkle R, et al. An oncology nurse navigator program designed to eliminate gaps in early cancer care. *Clinical journal of oncology nursing*. 2013;17(1):43-48.
- 232. Ludman EJ, McCorkle R, Bowles EA, et al. Do depressed newly diagnosed cancer patients differentially benefit from nurse navigation? *General hospital psychiatry.* 2015;37(3):236-239.
- 233. Wagner EH, Ludman EJ, Aiello Bowles EJ, et al. Nurse navigators in early cancer care: a randomized, controlled trial. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology.* 2014;32(1):12-18.
- 234. Permanente Excellence: Commission on Cancer Accreditation [press release]. June 21, 2018.
- 235. Kaiser Permanente Moanalua Medical Center Cancer Program Earns National Accreditation [press release]. April 10, 2017.
- 236. American College of Surgeons. Cancer Programs. 2018; <u>https://www.facs.org/search/cancer-programs?name=kaiser&n=100</u>. Accessed August 23, 2018.
- 237. Commission on Cancer approves Mid-Atlantic States program [press release]. January 14 2019.
- 238. American College of Surgeons. About the Commission on Cancer. 2022; <u>https://www.facs.org/quality-programs/cancer-programs/commission-on-cancer/about/</u>. Accessed December 8, 2022.



- 239. Oeffinger KC, Fontham ET, Etzioni R, et al. Breast Cancer Screening for Women at Average Risk: 2015 Guideline Update From the American Cancer Society. *JAMA*. 2015;314(15):1599-1614.
- 240. Miglioretti DL, Zhu W, Kerlikowske K, et al. Breast Tumor Prognostic Characteristics and Biennial vs Annual Mammography, Age, and Menopausal Status. *JAMA oncology.* 2015;1(8):1069-1077.
- 241. Runowicz CD, Leach CR, Henry NL, et al. American Cancer Society/American Society of Clinical Oncology Breast Cancer Survivorship Care Guideline. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology*. 2016;34(6):611-635.
- 242. Niell BL, Jochelson MS, Amir T, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Female Breast Cancer Screening: 2023 Update. *Journal of the American College of Radiology : JACR.* 2024;21(6s):S126-s143.
- 243. Melnikow J, Fenton JJ, Whitlock EP, et al. Supplemental Screening for Breast Cancer in Women With Dense Breasts: A Systematic Review for the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. *Annals of internal medicine*. 2016;164(4):268-278.
- 244. Trentham-Dietz A, Chapman CH, Jayasekera J, et al. Collaborative Modeling to Compare Different Breast Cancer Screening Strategies: A Decision Analysis for the US Preventive Services Task Force. *JAMA*. 2024;331(22):1947-1960.
- 245. Henderson JT, Webber EM, Weyrich MS, Miller M, Melnikow J. Screening for Breast Cancer: Evidence Report and Systematic Review for the US Preventive Services Task Force. *JAMA*. 2024;331(22):1931-1946.
- 246. Engmann NJ, Ergas IJ, Yao S, et al. Genetic Ancestry Is not Associated with Breast Cancer Recurrence or Survival in U.S. Latina Women Enrolled in the Kaiser Permanente Pathways Study. *Cancer epidemiology, biomarkers & prevention : a publication of the American Association for Cancer Research, cosponsored by the American Society of Preventive Oncology.* 2017;26(9):1466-1469.
- 247. Larsen V, Barlow WE, Yang JJ, et al. Germline Genetic Variants in GATA3 and Breast Cancer Treatment Outcomes in SWOG S8897 Trial and the Pathways Study. *Clinical breast cancer*. 2019;19(4):225-235.e222.
- 248. Yao S, Kwan ML, Ergas IJ, et al. Association of Serum Level of Vitamin D at Diagnosis With Breast Cancer Survival: A Case-Cohort Analysis in the Pathways Study. *JAMA oncology*. 2017;3(3):351-357.
- 249. Shi Z, Rundle A, Genkinger JM, et al. Distinct trajectories of fruits and vegetables, dietary fat, and alcohol intake following a breast cancer diagnosis: the Pathways Study. *Breast cancer research and treatment*. 2020;179(1):229-240.
- 250. Chua AV, Jr., Sheng H, Liang E, et al. Epidemiology of early vs. Late recurrence among women with Early-Stage estrogen Receptor-Positive breast cancer in the pathways study. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*. 2024.
- 251. U.S. National Library of Medicine. Radiologic Evaluation and Breast Density (READ). 2009; https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00117663. Accessed September 17, 2018.
- 252. U.S. National Library of Medicine. Assessing Breast Density's Value in Imaging A Comparative Effectiveness Study (BCSC-ADVANCE). 2018; <u>https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02980848</u>. Accessed September 17, 2018.
- 253. Tice JA, Gard CC, Miglioretti DL, et al. Comparing Mammographic Density Assessed by Digital Breast Tomosynthesis or Digital Mammography: The Breast Cancer Surveillance Consortium. *Radiology.* 2022;302(2):286-292.
- 254. Trister AD, Buist DSM, Lee CI. Will Machine Learning Tip the Balance in Breast Cancer Screening? *JAMA oncology.* 2017;3(11):1463-1464.
- 255. Houssami N, Lee CI, Buist DSM, Tao D. Artificial intelligence for breast cancer screening: Opportunity or hype? *Breast* (*Edinburgh, Scotland*). 2017;36:31-33.
- 256. Lee CI, Houssami N, Elmore JG, Buist DSM. Pathways to breast cancer screening artificial intelligence algorithm validation. *Breast (Edinburgh, Scotland)*. 2020;52:146-149.
- 257. Sprague BL, Arao RF, Miglioretti DL, et al. National Performance Benchmarks for Modern Diagnostic Digital Mammography: Update from the Breast Cancer Surveillance Consortium. *Radiology.* 2017;283(1):59-69.
- 258. Chelmow D, Pearlman MD, Young A, et al. Executive Summary of the Early-Onset Breast Cancer Evidence Review Conference. *Obstetrics and gynecology.* 2020;135(6):1457-1478.
- 259. Krings G, Joseph NM, Bean GR, et al. Genomic profiling of breast secretory carcinomas reveals distinct genetics from other breast cancers and similarity to mammary analog secretory carcinomas. *Modern pathology : an official journal of the United States and Canadian Academy of Pathology, Inc.* 2017;30(8):1086-1099.



260. Vuylsteke P, Huizing M, Petrakova K, et al. Pictilisib plus paclitaxel for the treatment of hormone receptor-positive, HER2-negative, locally recurrent, or metastatic breast cancer: interim analysis of the multicentre, placebo-controlled, phase II randomised PEGGY study. *Annals of oncology : official journal of the European Society for Medical Oncology / ESMO*. 2016;27(11):2059-2066.